

TEST YOUR SKILLS -2 Syllogism Solution

1	E	6	E	11	E	16	D	21	D
2	A	7	E	12	B	17	B	22	B
3	C	8	E	13	E	18	A	23	B
4	E	9	E	14	D	19	A	24	E
5	E	10	B	15	B	20	A	25	E

1. (E) all toffees are gems (A)+ all gems are candies (A)=A+A=A All toffees are candies (A) + No candy is stone (E)=A+E=E=No toffee is stone. Hence conclusion (i) follows.
Again, some chocolates are toffees (I)+All toffees are gems (A)=I+A=I=Some chocolates are gems (I) + All gems are candies (A)=I+A=I=Some chocolates are candies + No candy is a stone (E)=I+E=O=Some chocolates are not stones. Hence conclusion (2) follows.
Again, All gems are candies (A)+candy is a stone(E)=A+E=E=No gem is a stone. Hence conclusion 3 follows. But conclusion 5 does not follow
Again, some chocolates are not stone. It means all stone being chocolates is a possibility that can exist. Hence conclusion 4 follows.
2. (a) Again, All papers are vowels (A) → conversion → some vowels are papers (I). Thus, some vowels not being papers is a possibility. Hence conclusion 2 follow. There is no negative statement regarding words and vowels. Thus, the possibility in 3 exist. Hence conclusion 3 follows.
No vowel is a consonant (E) → conversion → NO consonant is a vowel (E). Hence conclusion 4 follows.
All papers are vowels (A)+ NO vowel is a consonant (E)=A+E=E= No paper is a consonant (E). Hence conclusion (5) follows and conclusion (1) does not follow.
3. (c) No table is a chair (E)+ some chairs are boxes (I) =E +I=O*=Some boxes are not tables. Hence conclusion (1) follows.
Again, some chairs are boxes (I)+ No box is a cover (E)=I+E=O=Some chairs are not covers. Hence conclusion (2) follows.
And 3) does not follows.
And all covers are drawers (A) → conversion → some drawers are covers (I).Hence conclusion (5) follows.
4. (e) Some bottles are jugs (I)+No jug is a bucket (E)=I+E=O=Some bottles are not buckets. Hence conclusion (3) follows.
Now, All cups are bottles (A)+Some bottles are not buckets (O)=A+O= NO conclusion. Hence (1) may follow.
Again, All cups are bottles (A) + Some bottles are jugs (I)=A+I= No conclusion. But conclusion (2) and (4) may follow.

- Again, NO jug is a bucket (E)+All buckets are tubes. (A)=E+A=O* =Some tubs are not jugs. Hence conclusion (5) does not follow.
5. (e). All numbers are letters (A)+No letter is a book (E) =A+E=E = No number is a book. Hence conclusion (1) follows.
Again, somebooks are papers (I)+ No paper is a copy (E) = I + E = O =some books are not copies.Hence, conclusion 2 follows.
Now, no number is a book (E)+ some books are papers (I)=E+I=O* =some papers are not numbers. Hence conclusion 3 follows.
Again, Noletter is a book (E) → conversion → NO book is a letter (E). Hence conclusion (4) follows.
Again, No letter is a book (E) + some boooks are papers (I)=E+I=O*=some papers are not letters. Hence conclusion (5) does not follows.
 6. (e) converted (a)+(b) gives: some rods are not foils [∴ I+E=O]. Hence, IV follows while III does not statement (a)+ statement(c) gives: some sheets are marbles [∴ I+A=I]. Hence II follows. I can't be established.
 7. (e) only III follows. Statement (a)+ statement (c) gives no conclusion [∴ O+E= no conclusion]. Hence I does not follow.Nor can II or IV be established. Converted form of statement (b) gives III.
 8. (e); None follows. IV foes not follow from statement (a). III does not follow because of statement (c). Statement(b)+ statement (c) gives: No rod is a crow [∴ A+
 9. (e) statement (a)+ statement (c) gives: some garbages are coins. Hence II follows But IV foes not. Statement (b) + statement (a) gives no conclusion.
Hence III does not follow. Statement (b) + statement (a)+ statement (c) does not give conclusion I.
 10. (b). E+E=No conclusion. Therefore, I and II can't be obtained from the statements (b) and (c). converted form of statement(a) gives conclusion IV. Statement (b)+ statement (a) gives conclusion III [so that E+I=O*]
 - 11.(E) Statement (a)+ statement(b) the conclusion "some sermons are not speeches" [so E+A=O*]. Hence, conclusion I and II do not follow. But these two conclusions make a complementary pair (IE-type). Hence, either conclusion I or conclusion II follows. Stateemnt (b) + statement(c)gives the conclusion "No lectures are advices" [∴ A+E=E]. Again, conversion of "No lectures are advices" gives conclusion III. Hence, conclusion III also follows. Again, "No speeches are lectures" + " No lectures are advices" gives no conclusion [∴ E+E=No conclusion]. Hence, conclusion IV does not follow.
 12. (b) None follows [so that E+E= no conclusion]
 13. (e) All follow, conclusion I follows directly from the implication of the conversion of statement ©. Conversion of statement (a) gives conclusion II.

- Statement (a)+ statement(b) gives conclusion III [so $E+I=O^*$]. Statement (b)+ statement (c) gives conclusion IV [so $I+E=O$]. Hence, all of the given conclusion follow.
- 14.** (d) Statement (a)+ statement (b) gives no conclusion [so $E+O$ =no conclusion]. Therefore, conclusion II does not follow. Statement (b) + statement (c) gives no conclusion [so $O+E$ = no conclusion]. Therefore, conclusion I does not follow. Conclusions III and IV fo not follow from statement (b). Therefore, conclusions III and IV fo not follow. But these two conclusions makea a complementary pair (OI-type). Hence, either conclusions III or conclusion IV follows.
- 15.** (b) Statement (a)+ statement (b) gives conclusion III [so $A+A=A$]. Now, conclusion III+ statement (c) gives the conclusion "No glasses are bowls". And its conversion gives conclusion I. Statement (b)+ statement(c) gives conclusion II [so $A+E=E$]. conclusion IV does not follow from statement (a) and statement (b).
- 16.** (d) only copies are books \Rightarrow all books are copies. Hence, conclusions I folows. Now "allbooks are copies" (A)+"no copies are pens (E) \Rightarrow "No books are pens" (E) [so that $A+E=E$]. Hence, conclusion IV follows. Again "only dusters are pens" \Rightarrow "All pens are dusters". Hence, conclusion II follows. But conclusion III does not follow.
- 17.** (b) "Only cars are motorcycles" \Rightarrow "all motorcclcs are cars" \Rightarrow "some cars are motorcycles". Now "some cars are motorcycles"+ "No motorcycles are bikes" gives " some cars are not bikes" [so $E+I=O^*$]. Statement (b), when converted, results in conclusion IV. Hence, IV follow.
- 18.** (a) statement (a) + statement (c) = }No pens are dusters" [so $A+E=E$]. conclusion I follows from "No pens are dusters". Similarly, statement (a)+ statement (b)= "No pens are clips". [so that $A+E=E$] again, conclusion II folows from "No pens are clips". Conclusion IV follows from "All pens are pencils.
- 19.** (a)
- 20.** (a)
- 21.** (d); We know $A+A=A$. Thus statement (b) + statement (c) gives the conclusions "All mats are desks". Therefore, conclusion IV follows but conclusion I does not follow. Now conversion of statement (a), i.e., "No bedsheets are mats"+ "All mats are desks" gives the conclusion "Some desks are not bedsheets" [so that $E+A=O^*$]. Hence, conclusion II does not follow. Again, conversion of statement (a) + statement (b) gives conclusion III [so $E+A=O^*$]. Hence, conclusion III follows.
- 22.** (b) Statement (a) + conversion of tatement (b) gives conclusion III [so $A+E=E$]. Again, statement (c)+ concusion III gives conclusion II [so $I+E=O$]. Again, statement (c)+ statement (a) gives the concusion "some tongs are chimneys" \rightarrow on conversion \rightarrow "some chmneys are tongs". Hence,
- conclusion I follows. Conclusion IV does not follow from statement (c).
- 23.** (b) conclusion I follows from statements (b) and (c) [so $A+A=A$]. But conclusion IV foes not follow. Now statement (a)+conclusion I gives conclusion II [so $I+A=I$]. Again, conclusion obtained from statement (a) + statements obtained from statement (a)+ statement (b) gives conclusion III on conversion [so $I+A=I$]
- 24.** (e) only either III or IV and II follow. Conclusion I does not follow from statement (b). Because only I type conclusions can be deduced from statements of A-type. Conclusion II follows from statement (a). Note that
- "No sievesa re boxes \Rightarrow "some boxes are not sieves". Conclusions II and IV do not follow from stateemnt (c). But these conclusions makes an (AO-type) complementary pair. Hence, eithe rocclusion III or onclusion IV follow.
- 25.** (e) Either I or II, III and IV follow. Statement (a)+ statement (b) gives conclusion IV [so $I+E=O$]. conclusion II. Hnece, conclusions II I and IV follows. Again, conversion of statement (b)+ statement (c) gives no concusion [so $E+E$ =no conclusion]. But these two conclusions make a complementary pair (IE-type). Hence, either I or II follows.